



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,885	10/10/2001		William R. Bush	6502.0357-00 2176	
22852	7590	06/29/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAI LLP	N, HENDEF	DADA, BEEMNET W			
901 NEW Y	ORK AVEN	UE, NW	'ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413				2135	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/976,885	BUSH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Beemnet W. Dada	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ◯ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ◯ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ◯ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) ◯ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ◯ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/03, 12/19/03, 14/25/04	Paper No(s)/Mail D						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	5) <u></u> .	A\					

Application/Control Number: 09/976,885 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-29 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 10-21 and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gong WO 99/30217.
- 4. As per claim 1 and 25, Gong teaches a method for providing security, comprising: separating a plurality of classes into at least a first trusted class and an untrusted class [page 3, lines 7-17 and page 8, line 37- page 9, line 24];

associating privilege information (i.e., permissions) with the first trusted class [page 3, lines 7-24]; and

controlling access to the first trusted class by the untrusted class or a second trusted class based upon the privilege information associating with the first trusted class [page 13, line 34 - page 14, line27].

5. As per claim 18, Gong teaches a secure virtual machine instruction processor comprising:

a first memory space for storing an untrusted class and a second memory space for storing a first trusted class [page 13, lines 1-9, page 3, lines 7-17 and page 8, line 37- page 9, line 24];

a privilege manager for managing privilege information associated with the first trusted class [page 3, lines 7-24]; and

a controller for controlling access to the first trusted class during a trusted class operation, wherein the controller is operative to receive a request for the trusted class operation from the untrusted class or a second trusted class and grant access to the first trusted class based on at least one permissive attribute within the privilege information for the first trusted class [page 13, line 34 - page 14, line27].

- 6. As per claims 2, 3, 20, 21, 26 and 27, Gong further teaches granting/refusing privileges based upon permissive attribute of privilege information and the step of controlling access depends upon the privilege [page 14, lines 4-38].
- 7. As per claim 4, Gong further teaches permitting access to the trusted class in a predetermined manner if the privilege permits the access [page 14, lines 23-35].
- 8. As per claim 5, Gong further teaches denying the access in a predetermined manner if the access to the first trusted class in the predefined manner is contrary to the privilege [page 14, lines 23-38].
- 9. As per claim 6, Gong further teaches wherein the privilege allows at least one of the group of creating a subclass of the first trusted class, creating a new instance of the first trusted

Application/Control Number: 09/976,885 Page 4

Art Unit: 2135

class, allowing the untrusted class or second trusted class to invoke a method of the first trusted class, and allowing the untrusted class or second trusted class access to trusted data of the first trusted class [column 13, lines 17-38].

- 10. As per claim 10, Gong further teaches allocating a separate memory space for the first class and untrusted class [page 13, lines 1-9, page 3, lines 7-17 and page 8, line 37- page 9, line 24].
- 11. As per claims 11-14 and 19, Gong further teaches the privilege information further comprises a plurality of permissive attributes [see page 9, line28 page 10 line 22].
- 12. AS per claims 15-17 and 28-29 Gong further teaches controlling access to the first class including detecting when a request for a trusted class peration is made by the untrusted class or second trusted class determining that the trusted class operation is authorized based on the privilege information associated with the first trusted class and allowing access to the first trusted class according to the trusted class operation [page 14, lines 23-35].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

14.

Claims 7-9 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Page 5

Gong WO 99/30217 in view of Papa et al. (Ref U).

15. As per claims 7-9 and 22-24 Gong teaches the method as applied to claims 1 and 18

above. Gong is silent on separating classes further comprising associating a package with a

trusted class. However, Papa et al teaches associating a package with a trusted class, wherein

associating the package further a comprises encapsulating the first trusted class within the

package [see page 67-68, sections 2.1, java package protection model, 2.2 authorization

model]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of

applicant's invention to employ the teachings of Papa et al within the system of Gong thereby

allowing associating a package with a trusted class and providing protection within a package

level.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. See PTO form 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Beemnet W. Dada whose telephone number is (571) 272-3847. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (9:00 am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/976,885

Art Unit: 2135

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Beemnet Dada

June 23, 2005

KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100